Photoionization of the francium 7P3/2 state


Abstract: We have measured the non-resonant photoionization cross section of the 7P3/2 state of francium for 442 nm light to be 20.8 ± 7.1 Mb. Atoms were irradiated in a magneto-optical trap, and we deduce the photoionization rate from the change in trap lifetime. The result is consistent with a simple extrapolation of known cross sections for other alkali atoms.
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Résumé : Nous mesurons que la section efficace de photo-ionisation hors résonance de l’état 7P3/2 du francium pour une lumière de 442 nm est de 20.8 ± 7.1 Mb. Les atomes sont irradiés dans un piège magnéto-optique et nous déduisons le taux de photo-ionisation à partir du changement du temps de vie du piège. Le résultat est cohérent avec la simple extrapolation de sections efficaces connues pour d’autres atomes alcalins. [Traduit par la Rédaction]
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1. Introduction

The near-threshold photoionization of atoms is an important process to consider as a loss mechanism in two-species magneto-optical traps (MOTs) [1]. It is also of indirect importance in atomic parity violation experiments, either as a loss mechanism [2, 3] or as a detection scheme [4]. A detailed calculation has been done of the francium 7S ground state photoionization cross section [5], but we are unaware of calculations for the P states. However, photoionization from P states have been explored in detail in other alkali atoms [6]. High-precision absolute measurements of photoionization cross sections are difficult to achieve for several reasons; most notable are the requirements to know the absolute number of atoms as well as the absolute photon flux [7, 8].

The use of a MOT allows for alternative techniques that are not possible to implement in a beam experiment [9]. The MOT lifetime is determined by a combination of effects, each of which removes atoms from the trap. Typically, for long-lived traps, the atom loss is dominated by collisions with background gas and hence determined by the vacuum pressure. If the trapped species is unstable, the radioactive lifetime must also be considered, and the total loss rate is the sum of all mechanisms, producing an exponential decay of trapped atoms. This is the case for francium, which has no stable isotopes. In a sufficiently dilute MOT where two-body losses are negligible, the number of atoms in the trap decays as

\[ N(t) = N_0 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_{\text{coll}}}} = N_0 e^{-Rt} \]  

(1)

with \( N(t) \) atoms at time \( t \), and \( N_0 \) atoms at \( t = 0 \). Then \( \tau_i \) is the lifetime determined from a single trap loss mechanism, such as collisions with background gas or radioactive decay, and \( R \) is the combined loss rate from all of the mechanisms.

The addition of photoionization introduces another loss mechanism; ions do not experience the resonant radiative forces of the MOT and are thereby lost. With the only difference being the presence of the ionizing light, the change in the trap lifetime is attributed to photoionization and we use this to calculate the cross section [9]. Thus, the photoionization rate \( R_{\text{PI}} \) is determined by

\[ R_{\text{PI}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{on}}} - \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{off}}} \]  

(2)

where \( \tau_{\text{on}}(\text{off}) \) is the trap lifetime with the photoionizing light on(off). If a single photon has sufficient energy to ionize from a particular level in the MOT, we can identify the photoionization rate as coming from that electronic state, neglecting higher order effects, such as two-photon excitations or photo-association, which would also remove atoms from the trap but require higher laser intensities. Once the photoionization rate is known, the cross section from that excited state can be calculated from [9]

\[ \sigma = \frac{R_{\text{PI}}}{n_i \Phi} \]  

(3)

where \( n_i \) is the fraction of trapped atoms in the excited state from which photoionization occurs and \( \Phi = \frac{I}{\hbar \nu} \) is the photon flux (photons s⁻¹cm⁻²) of the ionizing light of intensity \( I \) (W/cm²) at frequency \( \nu \). The excited state fraction is determined from the conditions of the MOT and the properties of the trapped atoms.
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This equation is valid where the photoionizing rate is linear with the applied light (i.e., we are not saturating the process with high intensities of light). Burkhardt et al. [7] observe saturation in Na and K atoms with pulsed intensities greater than 100 MW/cm², whereas we operate below 1 W/cm², placing us well below saturation.

This technique does not require the absolute number of atoms interacting with the ionizing light; instead it requires knowledge of the fraction of atoms involved in the interaction. This quantity corresponds to the fraction of atoms in an excited state that can be photoionized. Some measurements use this to their advantage and saturate the transition [9]. We calculate our excited state fraction from the parameters of the trap. Furthermore, this technique is a fluorescence measurement and does not require any ion detection. It can be carried out directly in the MOT.

2. Experimental method

Our francium experiments [10], with no stable isotopes, require the limited online isotope production beam times available at TRIUMF. This simple experiment was designed to produce a measurement accurate enough to plan future atomic parity violation experiments [3]. Francium ions were delivered to our facility where they were captured in a thin yttrium foil neutralizer, which was then rotated into position and heated to release neutral francium into our trapping volume; this loaded the trap on a 20 s cycle. After 1 s of heating, the yttrium foil was rotated back to the catching position. It was irradiated in the heating position by the 442 nm beam, but it was not exposed to the photoionizing light during measurements of the MOT lifetime. The measurement probed a sample of ²²³Fr contained within the MOT, which has a radioactive half-life of 4.8 min. This was sufficiently long that we do not need to account for nuclear decay during our lifetime measurements. We measured the change in the trap lifetime when non-resonant 442 nm laser light was applied compared to the lifetime when that light was blocked. Illumination of the trap with photoionization light occurred every other cycle. Our result for the total cross section is not sensitive to the s- and d-waves of the outgoing electron, as measured in other experiments [6], as the quadrupole magnetic field and alternately polarized six beams of the MOT can cause any polarization in the atom cloud to be small.

The 442 ± 2 nm light was from an unregulated Laserglow Polaris-200 battery-powered diode laser calibrated by a simple grating spectrometer, which was in turn calibrated using the 436 nm mercury line. The light was transported through an optical fiber and collimated to a beam with 1/e² diameter 10 mm, whose spatial profile was measured using a calibrated iris and photodiode. To determine the alignment of the photoionization beam with the MOT, rubidium atoms were trapped after the francium measurement in the same location, and light resonant with the 780 nm rubidium D2 line was sent through the fiber. The calibrated aperture was adjusted until the probe light destroyed the MOT. This measured how off-center the beam position was, which we found to be 0.75 to 1.5 mm, and, along with a power measurement, we determined the light intensity incident on the atom cloud to be 41 ± 6 mW/cm², corresponding to a photon flux of 9.1 ± 1.3 × 10¹⁶ s⁻¹cm⁻².

The MOT fluorescence was measured by a charge-coupled device camera, and the recorded integrated signal was analyzed to determine the trap lifetime. An absolute calibration of the camera count-to-atoms was not required as we only needed the change in fluorescence to fit the lifetime. As we were not saturating the charge-coupled device chip, the camera response was linear to the fluorescence, and we could determine the trap lifetime by fitting simple exponentials.

![Fig. 1. ²²³Fr energy levels involved in the rate equation. The trap (718 nm) and re-pump (817 nm) lasers couple the solid-lined levels (a)–(c) such that in the steady state, those levels have nonzero population. Dashed-lined levels are sufficiently detuned from the lasers to not be involved. The 442 nm light is of sufficient energy to photoionize all 7P states with a single photon transition. Hyperfine splittings obtained from ref. 12.](image-url)
careful studies in MOTs of effective saturation intensities from dynamic and other effects (see e.g., ref. 13), we varied the theoretical saturation intensity by 30% and found this does not increase our uncertainty given the other significant systematics in our 5-level system.

The contribution from the \(7P_{1/2} \) state is \( n_{7P_{1/2}} = 0.0031 \). Measurements in cesium [8] show that the difference in the cross sections for the first excited \(P_{1/2} \) and \(P_{3/2} \) states is small, once the photon energy has exceeded the threshold for photoionization for both states. We assume that this is also true for francium. Thus we neglect this small difference compared to other sources of error and include the contribution of the \(7P_{1/2} \) state directly in the total excited state fraction stated.

3.2. Trap lifetimes

We fit the peaks in our data to simple exponentials on a fixed, flat background, as shown in Fig. 2. The background level is determined by observing the data where the trap was void of atoms. Additionally, we check that this background level is consistent with the data between short lifetime peaks where most atoms have been lost. The uncertainty in the fits is dominated by systematic scatter in the signal, mostly attributable to power fluctuations in the delivered trap light because it also appears when there are no atoms in the trap. We include the variation from these fluctuations in the quoted uncertainty. The fit results are shown in Table 1 along with their weighted averages. Because the chi-squared per degree of freedom \( \chi^2/\text{dof} = \sum [w_i (\bar{\tau} - \tau_i)^2]/\text{dof} = 1.73 \) and 2.04 (confidence level 14% and 8%), for light-on and -off, respectively, we inflate the uncertainties of the weighted averages by \( \sqrt{\chi^2/\text{dof}} \).

Table 1. Exponential lifetimes obtained by fitting peaks in Fig. 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \tau_{\text{on}} ) (s)</th>
<th>( \tau_{\text{off}} ) (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.620.4</td>
<td>7.722.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.420.5</td>
<td>15.842.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.720.3</td>
<td>15.422.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.020.5</td>
<td>12.812.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.620.5</td>
<td>19.523.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The weighted averages have had their errors inflated by their respective \( \sqrt{\chi^2/\text{dof}} \). Averages: \( \tau_{\text{on}} = 3.29 \pm 0.24 \) s; \( \tau_{\text{off}} = 13.5 \pm 1.6 \) s.

3.2.1. Constraints on photodesorption and photoassisted dimer formation

There is an increase of fluorescence signal when the 442 nm light is applied. Our beam only irradiates the yttrium catcher foil and 1/30 of the vapour cell. Because we heat the foil enough to release approximately 10% of the captured francium per shot, and the foil is moved out of the beam after 1 s, we attribute the additional atoms to photodesorption of francium from the yttrium, as observed at INFN-LNL [14].

Light-assisted desorption of rubidium is used to load MOTs from uncoated pyrex cells in geometries optimized for that purpose [15, 16]. Light-assisted desorption could in principle introduce a loss rate for our trapped francium atoms by collisions with added Rb atoms, because we have a small amount of residual Rb in our geometry from offline tests of our trap [10]. We conservatively constrain this possibility by considering the trap loss rates observed in ref. 16, scaling by the 1/30 fraction of our cell irradiated with the same intensity, and assuming the possible fraction of bad dry film is less than 10% [10]. Thus we estimate considerably less than a 0.0002 s\(^{-1}\) trap loss rate in our system from photodesorption of Rb with the 442 nm light. We conclude that there are additional francium atoms in the MOT from photodesorption from the yttrium foil by the 442 nm light, but the effect on the trap lifetime, and hence the photoionization rate, from photodesorption is negligible within our precision.

In principle, the 442 nm light could allow photo-assisted dimer formation, because it is to the red of the 7S to 8P transitions, and the molecular formation rate would have to be differentiated from the photoionization rate. However, we can constrain the formation rate during our photoionization measurement to be negligible using literature data and theory. In ref. 17, Dreg et al. saturated a Cs \(6S \rightarrow 6P_{2/3} \) photo-assisted molecular resonance with intensity \( I = 55 \) W/cm\(^2\), measuring a loss rate 2.5 s\(^{-1}\) in a MOT with atom density \( n = 10^{11} \) cm\(^{-3}\) and temperature \( T = 140 \) \(\mu\)K. Their saturated rate agreed with the theoretical on-resonance maximum \( n_{\text{rel}} \pi/k^2 \), where \( n_{\text{rel}} \) is the relative velocity and \( k \) is the atom momentum [18]. Calculations including relativistic corrections predict that francium should have similar photo-assocation processes to cesium [19]. We scale the measured maximum rate of ref. 17 by our much smaller parameters \( n = 10^8 \) cm\(^{-3}\) and \( I = 41 \pm 6 \) mW/cm\(^2\); and we assume \( T \geq 10 \) \(\mu\)K to get a maximal rate estimate. Noting that our light is to the blue of the 7S to 7P transitions, forbidding formation of photo-assisted molecules from them, we also scale by the weaker strength of the 436 and 426 nm 7S \( \rightarrow \) 8P transitions [20]. The resulting upper limit for photo-assisted dimer formation in our measurement is \(-3 \times 10^{-6} \) s\(^{-1}\), which we neglect.

3.2.2. Experimental results

The average lifetimes, shown in Table 1, are converted to loss rates, then subtracted to extract the loss rate due to photoionization according to (2). We find \( R_\text{p} = 0.230 \pm 0.028 \) s\(^{-1}\).

Combining the measured photon flux and photoionization rate with the calculated excited state fraction produces a photoionization cross section of 2.08 \(\pm 0.71 \times 10^{-17} \) cm\(^2\) or 20.8 \(\pm 7.1 \) Mb for \(^{221}\)Fr by 442 nm light.

3.3. Comparison to other alkalis

A review of photoionization cross sections of excited alkali atoms can be found in ref. 6, including experimental values for Na [7, 21], K [6], Rb [9], and Cs [8, 22]. It also contains theoretical treatments of the alkali elements up to cesium, showing the photoionization cross sections for different photon energies, for different calculations. Additionally, photoionization cross sec-
Fig. 3. Comparison of experimental photoionization cross sections of the first excited $^1P_{3/2}$ state of the alkali atoms for photoelectron energies at 0.46 eV, projected from measurements near 0.46 eV and theory interpolation. Our francium ($Z = 87$) measurement at 0.46 eV follows the general trend set by the lighter alkalis [6] except for lithium [23]. [Colour online.]

4. Conclusion

We have measured the non-resonant photoionization cross section of the $^1P_{3/2}$ state of francium for 442 nm light to be $20.8 \pm 7.1$ Mb. We irradiated atoms in a MOT and measured the change in trap lifetime to deduce the photoionization rate. The result is in line with the general trend exhibited by photoionization cross sections for the other alkali atoms. It is of sufficient precision to estimate the magnitude of the loss rate from photoionization in a francium trap for an atomic parity violation experiment [3].

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the ISAC staff at TRIUMF for developing the Fr beam. This work is supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, United States Department of Energy and National Science Foundation, and Consejo Nacional de Ciencia Y Tecnologia from Mexico. R.C. acknowledges support from a University of Manitoba Graduate Fellowship. TRIUMF receives federal funding via a contribution agreement with the National Research Council of Canada.

References